

APPENDIX E. MITIGATION STRATEGY

This appendix provides the Mitigation Action Worksheet template, including instructions for its completion, used by the participating jurisdictions to document applicable projects identified in their mitigation strategy, including a summary of the action evaluation and prioritization process.

Please complete the following two tables per **NEW action/project** with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3.

Name of Jurisdiction: _____

Name and Title Completing Worksheet: _____

Action Number: _____

Mitigation Action Name: _____

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	
Specific problem being mitigated:	
Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	
Mitigation Action Type	
Goals Met	
Applies to existing and or new development, or not applicable	
Benefits (losses avoided)	
Estimated Cost	
Priority*	
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	
Local Planning Mechanism	
Potential Funding Sources	
Timeline for Completion	
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:

Action Number: _____

Mitigation Action Name: _____

Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety		
Property Protection		
Cost-Effectiveness		
Technical		
Political		
Legal		
Fiscal		
Environmental		
Social		
Administrative		
Multi-Hazard		
Timeline		
Agency Champion		
Other Community Objectives		
Total		
Priority (H/M/L)		

Guidance to Complete the Mitigation Action Worksheet

The following provides additional guidance on how to complete the Mitigation Action Worksheet.

Assessing the Risk

Hazard(s) addressed: Please enter the hazard of concern you are mitigating. For this plan, the hazards of concern identified for the planning area are:

- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Flood (riverine, shallow, dam failure, flash, and ice jam)
- Landslide
- Severe Storm (thunderstorm, hail, wind, tornado, hurricane/tropical storm, and Nor'Easter)
- Severe Winter Storm
- Wildfire

Specific problem being mitigated: Please describe the specific problem being mitigated.

Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects

Actions/Projects Considered: Please consider different options to mitigate the problem identified. Please describe all actions considered and a brief reason as to why an action was not selected.

Action/Project Intended for Implementation

Description of the Selected Project: Please provide a brief description of the selected project.

Mitigation Action Type:

Local Plans and Regulations (LPR) – These actions include government authorities, policies or codes that influence the way land and buildings are being developed and built.

Structure and Infrastructure Project (SIP) - These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area. This could apply to public or private structures as well as critical facilities and infrastructure. This type of action also involves projects to construct manmade structures to reduce the impact of hazards.

Natural Systems Protection (NSP) – These are actions that minimize damage and losses, and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.

Education and Awareness Programs (EAP) – These are actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. These actions may also include participation in national programs, such as StormReady, Firewise and Climate Smart Communities.

Goals: Please insert the goals that would be met if the action/project is implemented.

- Goal 1. Protect Life.

- Goal 2. Protect property, including public and private property, critical facilities and infrastructure.
- Goal 3. Increase education and awareness, and promote relationships with stakeholders, citizens, government officials, and property owners to develop opportunities for mitigation of natural hazards
- Goal 4. Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and resilient mitigation projects to preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.
- Goal 5. Build regional, county and local mitigation and related emergency management capabilities.
- Goal 6. Promote Local and Regional Sustainability
- Goal 7. Support comprehensive county and local mitigation through the integration of hazard mitigation planning into related county and local plans and programs

Benefits: Please describe the losses avoided when the project is implemented. This includes physical property damage; loss of function; road closing/detours; etc.

Estimated Cost:

Please provide the estimated cost (if known) or use the following ranges:

Low = < \$10,000 Medium = \$10,000 to \$100,000 High = > \$100,000

Priority: Please enter High/Medium/Low. Refer to the prioritization exercise and table.

Plan for Implementation

Potential Funding Source: Please identify the anticipated funding source, which could be “Grant funding with local cost share”. Sources may include federal, state and local sources.

Timeline for Completion: Short = 1 to 5 years. Long Term= 5 years or greater. OG = On-going program.

Reporting on Progress

This section is for action progress reporting purposes during the five-year plan update cycle, as outlined in the plan maintenance procedures in Section 7 (Plan Maintenance).

Guidance to Complete the Prioritization Table

Complete this table to help evaluate and prioritize each mitigation action being considered by your municipality. Please use these 14 criteria below to assist in evaluating and prioritizing new mitigation actions identified. Specifically, for each new mitigation action, assign a numeric rank (-1, 0, or 1) for each of the 14 evaluation criteria in the provided table, defined as follows:

1 = Highly effective or feasible

0 = Neutral

-1 = Ineffective or not feasible

Use the numerical results of this exercise to help prioritize your actions as “Tier I”, “Tier II” or “Tier III” priority. Your municipality may recognize other factors or considerations that affect your overall prioritization; these should be identified in narrative in the Priority field of the worksheet.

1. Life Safety – How effective will the action be at protecting lives and preventing injuries?
2. Property Protection – How significant will the action be at eliminating or reducing damage to structures and infrastructure?
3. Cost-Effectiveness – Are the costs to implement the project or initiative commensurate with the benefits achieved?
4. Technical – Is the mitigation action technically feasible? Is it a long-term solution? Eliminate actions that, from a technical standpoint, will not meet the goals.
5. Political – Is there overall public support for the mitigation action? Is there the political will to support it?
6. Legal – Does the jurisdiction have the authority to implement the action?
7. Fiscal - Can the project be funded under existing program budgets (i.e., is this initiative currently budgeted for)? Or would it require a new budget authorization or funding from another source such as grants?
8. Environmental – What are the potential environmental impacts of the action? Will it comply with environmental regulations?
9. Social – Will the proposed action adversely affect one segment of the population? Will the action disrupt established neighborhoods, break up voting districts, or cause the relocation of lower income people?
10. Administrative – Does the jurisdiction have the personnel and administrative capabilities to implement the action and maintain it or will outside help be necessary?
11. Multi-hazard – Does the action reduce the risk to multiple hazards?
12. Timeline - Can the action be completed in less than 5 years (within our planning horizon)?
13. Local Champion – Is there a strong advocate for the action or project among the jurisdiction’s staff, governing body, or committees that will support the action’s implementation?
14. Other Local Objectives – Does the action advance other local objectives, such as capital improvements, economic development, environmental quality, or open space preservation? Does it support the policies of other plans and programs?